

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,848	FRENCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James M. Heslin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2005

**Time:** 5:20pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, second paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*77 and 78*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed changing "one or more" in line 3 of claims 77 and 78 to --multiple-- because claim 66, on which they depend, requires multiple ribs.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)